Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)
	10/7	18,722	KAIPA ET AL.
Lammer-innated interview Juliin	Exa	miner	Art Unit
	Etie	nne P. LeRoux	2161
II Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> .			
(1) <u>Etienne P. LeRoux</u> .		(3)	·
(2) <u>Michael Buchenhorner</u> .	1	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 7 November 2006	Ti	me: <u>11:30 am</u>	
	☐ Applicant's r	epresentative)	
Part I.			•
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: NA .			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL N	NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The example separate record	miner will provide a writtens of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Appl	icant's Representative S	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to propose deleting a section of paragraph 58 in Applicant's specification in order to advance prosecution. Applicant agreed with examiner's proposed amendment and authorization was granted for an examiner's amendment.